

Note to Readers: *EHP* strives to ensure that all journal content is accessible to all readers. However, some figures and Supplemental Material published in *EHP* articles may not conform to 508 standards due to the complexity of the information being presented. If you need assistance accessing journal content, please contact ehp508@niehs.nih.gov. Our staff will work with you to assess and meet your accessibility needs within 3 working days.

Supplemental Material

Prenatal Exposure to NO₂ and Ultrasound Measures of Fetal Growth in the Spanish INMA Cohort

Carmen Iñiguez, Ana Esplugues, Jordi Sunyer, Mikel Basterrechea, Ana Fernández-Somoano, Olga Costa, Marisa Estarlich, Inmaculada Aguilera, Aitana Lertxundi, Adonina Tardón, Mònica Guxens, Mario Murcia, Maria-Jose Lopez-Espinosa, and Ferran Ballester, on behalf of the INMA Project

Table of Contents

Fetal growth curves and calculation of SD scores

Rationale

General model description

Conditional and unconditional centiles

Figure S1. Correlation structure was an exponential variogram in all cases and random effects were never incorporated.

Figure S2. Fetal growth curves for estimated fetal weight (EFW) in the four INMA-cohorts, INMA Study 2003-2008 (Spain)

Figure S3. Fetal growth curves for abdominal circumference (AC) in the four INMA-cohorts, INMA Study 2003-2008 (Spain)

Figure S4. Fetal growth curves for biparietal diameter (BPD) in the four INMA-cohorts, INMA Study 2003-2008 (Spain)

Figure S5. Fetal growth curves for femur length (FL) in the four INMA-cohorts, INMA Study 2003-2008 (Spain). There were no different FL curves by sex, since sex did not enter in any of the models.

Calculation of SD scores for neonatal parameters

Figure S6. Summary of models of neonatal parameters, INMA Study 2003-2008 (Spain). GA: gestational age in days, Random effects were never incorporated, therefore, estimates were performed using the generalized least-squares approach.

Table S1. INMA Study characteristics by NO₂ levels. INMA Study 2003-2008 (Spain)

Table S2. Exposure to NO₂ in different stages of pregnancy and SD scores of fetal size. INMA Study 2003-2008 (Spain)

Table S3. Results of sensitivity analyses. INMA Study 2003-2008 (Spain)

Figure S7. Shape of the relationship between exposure to NO₂ in weeks 0-12 and size of fetal parameters at week 12. INMA Study 2003-2008 (Spain). Shape estimated from a generalized additive model using a penalized cubic spline as a smoother. According to AIC, linearity was rejected for BPD and EFW, and the same pattern could be appreciated in these two cases. The vertical line is plotted at the 66th percentile of the distribution of NO₂ levels.

Figure S8. Shape of the relationship between exposure in the critical window of NO₂ (weeks 0-12 or weeks 12-20) and size of fetal parameters at week 20. INMA Study 2003-2008 (Spain). According to AIC, linearity was rejected for FL and EFW, and the same pattern could be appreciated in these two cases.

Figure S9. Shape of the relationship between exposure in the critical window of NO₂ (weeks 0-12) and size of fetal parameters at week 34. INMA Study 2003-2008 (Spain). According to AIC, linearity was rejected for AC and EFW, and the same pattern could be appreciated in these two cases.

References